TTAB

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

House of Blues Brands Corp. Opposer)		
)		
	ý	Opposition No. 91169537	
v.)	Serial No.: 78/623,323	
)		
Chica, Inc.)		
Applicant)		
)		

APPLICANT'S ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Applicant submits the following Answer to the Notice of Opposition filed by House of Blues Brands Corp concerning Serial No. 78/623,323.

- 1. In answering paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits it is the owner of Trademark Application Serial No. 78/623,323 in International Class 9 published January 24, 2006. Applicant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 2. In answering paragraph 2 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that Trademark Application Serial No. 78/623,323 was filed based on first use of April 1999. Applicant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 1 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 3. In answering paragraph 3 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said



paragraph.

- 4. In answering paragraph 4 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 5. In answering paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that Opposer is the owner of the trademark registrations having the registration numbers listed, and that applications for such registrations were filed on the respective dates of filing provided.

 Applicant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 5 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 6. In answering paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that Opposer is the owner of the trademark applications having the application numbers listed. Applicant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 6 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 7. In answering paragraph 7 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 8. In answering paragraph 8 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 9. In answering paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that Opposer is the owner of the trademark registrations having the registration numbers listed, and

that applications for such registrations were filed on the respective dates of filing provided.

Applicant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 9 of the Notice of Opposition.

- 10. In answering paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that Opposer is the owner of the trademark application having the application number listed.

 Applicant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 10 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 11. In answering paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant admits that Opposer is the owner of the trademark registrations having the registration numbers listed.

 Applicant denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 11 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 12. In answering paragraph 12 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 13. In answering paragraph 13 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 14. In answering paragraph 14 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 15. In answering paragraph 15 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore,

basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.

- 16. In answering paragraph 16 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 17. In answering paragraph 17 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.
- 18. No answer to this paragraph is considered necessary, the answers already having been provided above.
 - 19. Applicant denies the allegations of paragraph 19 of the Notice of Opposition.
- 20. Insofar as they are understood, the allegations of paragraph 20 of the Notice of Opposition are denied by Applicant.
- 21. No answer to this paragraph is considered necessary, the answers already having been provided above.
- 22. In answering paragraph 22 of the Notice of Opposition, Applicant lacks sufficient information or belief to enable it to answer the allegations (to the extent understood) of said paragraph and, therefore, basing its answer on such lack of information and belief, Applicant denies the allegations of said paragraph.
 - 23. Applicant denies the allegations of paragraph 23 of the Notice of Opposition.

House of Blues Brands Corp. v. Chica, Inc.

WHEREFORE, the Applicant prays that Opposer's opposition be denied, that the Notice of Opposition be dismissed with prejudice and that Applicant's application pass to registration.

Date: April 10, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Colin P. Abrahams

Attorney for the Applicant 5850 Canoga Avenue, Suite 400 Woodland Hills, California 91367

Tel: (818)710-2788 Fax: (818)710-2798

Email: cabrahams@earthlink.net

NEXT PAGE - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on April 10, 2006 he caused the foregoing document APPLICANT'S ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION to be served on the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board at the following address:

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P O Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

and on Opposer at the following address:

Dan Moffett Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP P O Box 12870 San Antonio, TX 78212

by depositing the same as first class mail with sufficient postage with the United States Postal Service.

The Trademark Trial and Appeal Board is requested to acknowledge safe receipt hereof by signing and returning the enclosed stamped postcard.

Colin P Abrahams